arXiv:hep-th/0109223v2 28 Sep 2001

# Supersymmetric Polytropic Gas Dynamics

Ashok Das
Department of Physics and Astronomy,
University of Rochester,
Rochester, NY 14627-0171
USA

and

Ziemowit Popowicz
Institute of Theoretical Physics,
University of Wrocław,
50-205 Wroclaw
Poland.

**Abstract**

We construct the $N = 1$ supersymmetric extension of the polytropic gas dynamics. We give both the Lagrangian as well as the Hamiltonian description of this system. We construct the infinite set of "Eulerian" conserved charges associated with this system and show that they are in involution, thereby proving complete integrability of this system. We construct the SUSY -B extension of this system as well and comment on the similarities and differences between this system and an earlier construction of the supersymmetric Chaplygin gas. We also derive the $N = 1$ supersymmetric extension of the elastic medium equations, which, however, do not appear to be integrable.

# 1 Introduction:

Hydrodynamic systems are dispersionless systems, which have been studied from a variety of points of view [1]-[5]. In $1+1$ dimensions, they encompass a large class of physical systems such as the polytropic gas [6], the elastic medium equations [6], Born-Infeld equations [7, 8],..., all of which are integrable. Some of these dispersionless systems [9] can be related to string theory, membrane theory and topological field [10] theories.

Although there has been an increased interest in studying such systems in recent years, a lot remains to be understood about such systems. For example, it is only recently that a non-standard Lax description for the polytropic gas [11] (and the Born-Infeld equations [12]) has been found, which describes various properties of the system in a unified manner. A non-standard Lax representation, however, is not useful for various generalizations and a standard Lax representation has been obtained only now which brings in the interesting connection between such systems and the Lucas and Fibonacci polynomials [13]. Such a representation will, no doubt, be very useful.

Considering the intimate connection between such systems and string/membrane/topological theories, it is surprising that a systematic study of the supersymmetrization of such systems does not exist so far. It is, of course, well known that supersymmetrization of integrable systems is a notoriously difficult problem. For example, a complete classification of all possible supersymmetrizations of the simplest of the integrable systems - the KdV equation - is still lacking. On top of that, in the case of supersymmetric dispersionless systems, a systematic procedure for constructing the Lax description remains an open question (the Lax description has so far been constructed only for three systems [14, 15] and they have a much more complicated structure than their dispersive counterparts). However, a systematic study of the supersymmetrization of such hydrodynamic systems is an important question and, in this letter, we take a first step in this direction by constructing the $N=1$ supersymmetric polytropic gas dynamics.

It is important to point out here that there have been some earlier attempts [16, 17] at specific supersymmetrizations of such systems. For example, in [17], a supersymmetrization of the Chaplygin gas (which corresponds to the specific choice of $\gamma=-1$ in the polytropic gas) has been obtained from the superstring theory in 3-dimensions with a *kappa* supersymmetry after gauge fixing. This supersymmetric system of equations has the peculiar feature that the two bosonic equations do not have any fermion terms. Within the context of integrable systems, such supersymmetrizations are well understood and, in fact, in the early literature on the subject, such supersymmetrizations were discarded as being "trivial" [18]. It is only after their connection with superstrings (through matrix models) was established that they have been studied vigorously and are called SUSY -B extensions [19]. Since the system in [17] is obtained from a string theory, it is not surprising that it is quite analogous to a - B extension of the original bosonic system of equations. However, as we will point out later, it is not exactly a conventional - B extension either.

Since we do not yet know how to systematically construct a Lax representation for supersym-

metric dispersionless systems, we have supersymmetrized the system directly at the level of the equations of motion as well as the Hamiltonian structure. In section **2**, we will review some of the essential features of the bosonic polytropic gas dynamics that are useful for our discussion of the SUSY system. In section **3**, we construct the supersymmetric system of equations which depends on a free parameter, as is normally the case in the supersymmetrization of integrable systems. We show that this system is Hamiltonian only for a particular value of the parameter. We construct the infinite set of conserved quantities associated with this system. Surprisingly, the second set of infinite conserved charges (that occurs in the bosonic system) reduces to only one local conserved charge. We bring out and comment on various other interesting features of this system, such as the second Hamiltonian structure, and show that the conserved charges are in involution, thereby proving complete integrability of the system. In section **4**, we present the - B extension of the polytropic gas dynamics and compare this with the model presented in [17]. We end with a brief conclusion in section **5**. All our calculations have also been checked using the symbolic program REDUCE [20] with the special supersymmetry package [21].

## 2 Polytropic gas dynamics:

The equations describing the polytropic gas dynamics in 1+1 dimensions are [6]

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} &= (vu)_x \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} &= \left(\frac{u^2}{2} + \frac{v^{\gamma-1}}{(\gamma-1)}\right)_x, \qquad \gamma \neq 0, 1 \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where $u$ and $v$ are the two dynamical variables and they belong to the class of equations known as equations of hydrodynamic type. The system of polytropic gas equations include a large class of physical systems corresponding to different values of the exponent $\gamma$. In particular, for $\gamma = -1$, they describe the Chaplygin gas, or the Born - Infeld equation. The dispersionless limit of the two boson equations corresponds to $\gamma = 2$. For the case $\gamma = 3$, under the simple change of variables

$$A = u + v, \qquad B = u - v \tag{2}$$

the equations reduce to

$$\frac{\partial A}{\partial t} = (A^2)_x, \qquad \frac{\partial B}{\partial t} = (B^2)_x \tag{3}$$

This system of equation can be though as the dispersionless limit of two noninteracting Korteweg de Vries equations (also known as Riemann equations). The case $\gamma = 4$ can be thought of as the dispersionless limit of the Boussinesq hierarchy. Let us note that, in general, the fields $u$ and $v$ can be assigned the canonical dimensions $[v] = 2$ and $[u] = \gamma - 1$.

The polytropic gas admits two infinite sets of conserved charges [5, 11]. The first set, also called the "Eulerian" conserved charges [22], have the explicit form

$$H_n^{(1)} = \int dx\, h_n^{(1)} = \int dx \sum_{k=0}^{[\frac{n}{2}]} c(k,n)\, u^{n-2k} v^{k(\gamma-1)+1}, \qquad n = 0, 1, 2, \cdots \tag{4}$$

where $[\frac{n}{2}]$ stands for the integer part of the fraction, and

$$c(k,n) = \frac{1}{k!(n-2k)!}\, \frac{1}{(\gamma-1)^k} \left( \prod_{l=0}^{k} \frac{1}{l(\gamma-1)+1} \right) \tag{5}$$

Explicitly, the first four conserved charges of this infinite set have the forms

$$\begin{aligned}
H_0^{(1)} &= \int dx\, h_0^{(1)} = \int dx\, v \\
H_1^{(1)} &= \int dx\, h_1^{(1)} = \int dx\, uv \\
H_2^{(1)} &= \int dx\, h_2^{(1)} = \int dx \left( \frac{1}{2!} u^2 v + \frac{v^\gamma}{\gamma(\gamma-1)} \right) \\
H_3^{(1)} &= \int dx\, h_3^{(1)} = \int dx \left( \frac{1}{3!} u^3 v + \frac{u v^\gamma}{\gamma(\gamma-1)} \right)
\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

The second infinite set of conserved charges, also known as the "Lagrangian" conserved charges [22], have the following closed forms

$$H_n^{(2)} = \int dx\, h_n^{(2)} = \int dx \sum_{k=0}^{[\frac{n}{2}]} c(k,n) u^{n-2k+1} v^{k(\gamma-1)} \tag{7}$$

The first three of these conserved charges have the explicit forms

$$\begin{aligned}
H_0^{(2)} &= \int dx\, h_0^{(2)} = \int dx\, u \\
H_1^{(2)} &= \int dx\, h_1^{(2)} = \int dx \left( \frac{1}{2!} u^2 + \frac{v^{(\gamma-1)}}{(\gamma-1)(\gamma-2)} \right) \\
H_2^{(2)} &= \int dx\, h_2^{(2)} = \int dx \left( \frac{1}{3!} u^3 + \frac{u v^{(\gamma-1)}}{(\gamma-1)(\gamma-2)} \right)
\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

The system of polytropic gas equations is Hamiltonian with respect to three distinct Hamiltonian structures (the operators act on a delta function),

$$\mathcal{D}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \partial \\ \partial & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\mathcal{D}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \partial v^{\gamma-2} + v^{\gamma-2}\partial & \partial u + (\gamma-2) u \partial \\ (\gamma-2)\partial u + u\partial & \partial v + v\partial \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\mathcal{D}_3 = \begin{pmatrix} \partial uv^{\gamma-2} + uv^{\gamma-2}\partial & \partial\Big[\frac{1}{2}u^2 + \frac{1}{\gamma-1}v^{\gamma-1})\Big] \\ & +\Big[\frac{(\gamma-2)}{2}u^2 + \frac{1}{\gamma-1}v^{\gamma-1}\Big]\partial \\ \partial\Big[\frac{(\gamma-2)}{2}u^2 + \frac{1}{\gamma-1}v^{\gamma-1}\Big] & \partial uv + uv\partial \\ +\Big[\frac{1}{2}u^2 + \frac{1}{\gamma-1}v^{\gamma-1}\Big]\partial & \end{pmatrix} \tag{9}$$

so that we can write the polytropic gas equations as

$$\begin{pmatrix} u_t \\ v_t \end{pmatrix} = \mathcal{D}_1 \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\delta H_2^{(1)}}{\delta u} \\ \frac{\delta H_2^{(1)}}{\delta v} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{\gamma}\,\mathcal{D}_2 \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\delta H_1^{(1)}}{\delta u} \\ \frac{\delta H_1^{(1)}}{\delta v} \end{pmatrix} = \mathcal{D}_3 \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\delta H_0^{(1)}}{\delta u} \\ \frac{\delta H_0^{(1)}}{\delta v} \end{pmatrix} \tag{10}$$

Similarly, the other "Eulerian" conserved quantities, $H_n^{(1)}$, give the higher order equations of the polytropic gas hierarchy with these three Hamiltonian structures.

On the other hand, the second set of conserved quantities, with the Hamiltonian structures of Eq. (9), lead to the hierarchy of the elastic medium equations. For example, the elastic medium equations

$$v_t = u_x, \qquad u_t = v^{\gamma-3}v_x \tag{11}$$

can be easily seen to result from

$$\begin{pmatrix} u_t \\ v_t \end{pmatrix} = \mathcal{D}_1 \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\delta H_1^{(2)}}{\delta u} \\ \frac{\delta H_1^{(2)}}{\delta v} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{\gamma-2}\,\mathcal{D}_2 \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\delta H_0^{(2)}}{\delta u} \\ \frac{\delta H_0^{(2)}}{\delta v} \end{pmatrix} \tag{12}$$

The three Hamiltonian structures in Eq. (9) are compatible, which can be easily seen from the fact that under the shift $u \to u + \lambda$, where $\lambda$ is an arbitrary constant, $\mathcal{D}_2 \to \mathcal{D}_2 + \lambda(\gamma-1)\mathcal{D}_1$ and $\mathcal{D}_3 \to \mathcal{D}_3 + \lambda\mathcal{D}_2 + \frac{\lambda^2(\gamma-1)}{2}\mathcal{D}_1$. The compatibility of a multi-Hamiltonian structure guarantees the complete integrability of a system of dynamical equations [23]. However, in the case of the polytropic gas equations, one can check from Eqs. (4,7) that the conserved densities satisfy (this is a particular form of the relations satisfied by the conserved quantities of a hydrodynamic system)

$$\frac{\partial^2 H_n^{(i)}}{\partial v \partial v} = v^{\gamma-3}\frac{\partial H_n^{(i)}}{\partial u \partial u}, \qquad i = 1, 2 \text{ and } \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \cdots \tag{13}$$

Using this, one can prove, in an elegant manner, that the conserved quantities are in involution with respect to the three Hamiltonian structures, which proves the complete integrability of both the systems of equations, namely, the polytropic gas and the elastic medium. Both can be described by the same Lax function and share the same conserved quantities [11].

Let us next use the involution of the conserved quantities to derive some relations which will be useful within the context of the supersymmetric polytropic gas. Note that the involution of the charges with respect to the first Hamiltonian structure implies that

$$\{H_n^{(1)}, H_m^{(1)}\}_1 = \int dx \left(\frac{\delta H_n^{(1)}}{\delta u}\partial\frac{\delta H_m^{(1)}}{\delta v} - \frac{\delta H_n^{(1)}}{\delta v}\partial\frac{\delta H_m^{(1)}}{\delta u}\right) = 0 \tag{14}$$

An explicit calculation of the Poisson bracket yields

$$\int dx \sum_{s=0}^{[\frac{n}{2}]+[\frac{m}{2}]} \frac{u^{m+n-2s-2}v^{s(\gamma-1)+1}u_x}{s(\gamma-1)+1} \sum_{l=0}^{s} f(s,l,n,m) \tag{15}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} f(s,l,n,m) &= -(n-2(s-l))(n-2(s-l)-1)(l(\gamma-1)+1)(l(\gamma-1)) \\ &\quad +(m-2l)(m-2l-1)((s-l)(\gamma-1)+1)((s-l)(\gamma-1)) \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

The vanishing of the Poisson bracket, then, leads to the relation that

$$\sum_{l=0}^{s} f(s,l,n,m) = 0 \tag{17}$$

Integrable systems can be described by several distinct Lagrangians [24] and it has been shown recently [22] that the polytropic gas dynamics allows four different Lagrangian descriptions. We present the simplest of these, which will also be useful in our discussion of the supersymmetric system. Introducing the Clebsh potentials [25]

$$v = \varphi_x, \qquad u = \psi_x \tag{18}$$

we note that the simplest action that describes the polytropic gas dynamics has the form

$$S = \int dt\, L = \int dt\, dx\, \left(\psi_x\varphi_t - H_2^{(1)}\right) \tag{19}$$

Finally, let us note that it is easy to verify that the Miura transformation

$$v = rp, \qquad u = \frac{1}{(\gamma-1)}\left(r^{(\gamma-1)} + p^{(\gamma-1)}\right) \tag{20}$$

transforms the polytropic gas equations to the equations

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\ r}{dt} &= \frac{1}{(\gamma-1)}\left(r^{(\gamma-1)} + p^{(\gamma-1)}\right)r_x + rp^{(\gamma-2)}p_x \\ \frac{d\ p}{dt} &= \frac{1}{(\gamma-1)}\left(r^{(\gamma-1)} + p^{(\gamma-1)}\right)p_x + pr^{(\gamma-2)}r_x \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

which can be written as Hamiltonian equations with the first structure in Eq. (5) and

$$H = \int dx\, \frac{1}{\gamma(\gamma-1)}\, \left(pr^{\gamma-1} + rp^{\gamma-1}\right)$$

Moreover, this Miura transformation transforms the canonical Hamiltonian operator $\mathcal{D}_1$ onto the second Hamiltonian operator $\mathcal{D}_2$.

## 3 $N = 1$ Supersymmetric polytropic gas:

In this paper, we consider only the non-extended supersymmetrization of the polytropic gas for arbitrary $\gamma$. As we have already mentioned in the introduction, we do not have a good understanding of the Lax description of supersymmetric dispersionless systems yet [14, 15]. Therefore, we have chosen to work directly at the level of the equations of motion.

From the discussion of the last section and, in particular Eq. (1), we note that we can assign the following dimensions

$$[x] = -1, \qquad [v] = 2, \qquad [u] = \gamma - 1, \qquad [t] = -\gamma \tag{22}$$

In generalizing Eq. (1) to the $N = 1$ supersymmetric system, we work in superspace and enlarge the number of dynamical variables to write them in terms of two fermionic superfields of the forms

$$U(x, \theta) = \eta(x) + \theta u(x), \qquad V(x, \theta) = \xi(x) + \theta v(x) \tag{23}$$

where $\eta, \xi$ represent the dynamical fermionic variables, which are the superpartners of the original bosonic variables, $u, v$, respectively. Here $\theta$ (with $\theta^2 = 0$) represents the Grassmann coordinate of the superspace, on which the supercovariant derivative is defined as

$$D = \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta} + \theta\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \tag{24}$$

which satisfies

$$D^2 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \tag{25}$$

This determines the dimension $[\theta] = -\frac{1}{2}$ so that the dimensions of the dynamical superfields follow as

$$[U] = \gamma - \frac{3}{2}, \qquad [V] = \frac{3}{2} \tag{26}$$

It is clear now that, since $[U_t] = 2\gamma - \frac{3}{2}$ and $[V_t] = \gamma + \frac{3}{2}$, in generalizing the polytropic gas equations to the superspace, we can allow for all possible local terms (involving $U, (DU), U_x, \cdots$ and $V, (DV), V_x, \cdots$) in the dynamical equations on the superspace, which conform to the appropriate dimensions. Furthermore, we also require that the equations be such that, in the bosonic limit, they reduce to the equations for the polytropic gas dynamics. This restricts the structure of the equations greatly and leads to

$$\begin{aligned} V_t &= (V(DU))_x \\ U_t &= D\left[\frac{1}{2}(DU)^2 + \frac{1}{(\gamma-1)}(DV)^{\gamma-1} - \frac{(\gamma - 2\kappa)}{\gamma}VV_x(DV)^{\gamma-3}\right] \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

Here $\kappa$ is an arbitrary parameter. We want to emphasize that this is a generic feature of supersymmetrizing integrable equations that one invariably picks up an arbitrary parameter, whose value becomes fixed (to one or more particular values) when integrability is imposed [18].

Once we have the dynamical equations, with some work, it can be determined that the system possesses an infinite set of bosonic conserved charges which have the closed form

$$H_n^{(1)} = \int dz\, \tilde{h}_n^{(1)} = \int dz \sum_{k=0}^{[\frac{n}{2}]} c(k,n) V (DV)^{k(\gamma-1)} (DU)^{n-2k} \tag{28}$$

where $dz = dx\, d\theta$ represents the integration over the superspace and $c(k,n)$'s denote the constants defined in Eq. (5). These charges reduce in the bosonic limit to the "Eulerian" conserved charges in Eq. (4) and have the following explicit forms for the first few.

$$\begin{aligned}
H_0^{(1)} &= \int dz\, \tilde{h}_0^{(1)} = \int dz\, V \\
H_1^{(1)} &= \int dz\, \tilde{h}_1^{(1)} = \int dz\, V(DU) \\
H_2^{(1)} &= \int dz\, \tilde{h}_2^{(1)} = \int dz\, V \left[ \frac{1}{2}(DU)^2 + \frac{(DV)^{\gamma-1}}{\gamma(\gamma-1)} \right] \\
H_3^{(1)} &= \int dz\, \tilde{h}_3^{(1)} = \int dz\, V \left[ \frac{1}{3!}(DU)^3 + \frac{1}{\gamma(\gamma-1)} (DV)^{\gamma-1}(DU) \right]
\end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

These "Eulerian" charges can be easily checked to be conserved for any value of $\kappa$. In fact, under the evolution of Eq. (27), it is straightforward to show that

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{dH_n^{(1)}}{dt} &= \int dz \left[ -\sum_{k=1}^{[\frac{n}{2}]} c(k,n) \frac{k(\gamma-1)(k(\gamma-1)+1)}{n-2k+1} V(DV_x)(DV)^{k(\gamma-1)} (DU)^{n-2k+1} \right. \\
&\qquad \left. + \sum_{k=0}^{[\frac{n}{2}]-1} c(k,n)(n-2k) V(DV_x)(DV)^{(k+1)(\gamma-1)-1} (DU)^{n-2k-1} \right] \\
&= \int dz \sum_{k=1}^{[\frac{n}{2}]} \left[ -\frac{k(\gamma-1)(k(\gamma-1)+1)}{n-2k+1} c(k,n) + (n-2k+2) c(k-1,n) \right] \\
&\qquad \times V(DV_x)(DV)^{k(\gamma-1)} (DU)^{n-2k+1} \\
&= 0
\end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

where we have used the fact that the quantity in the square bracket vanishes because of the structure of $c(k,n)$ (see Eq. (5)).

Once we have the conserved quantities, we can think of them as the Hamiltonians and look for a Hamiltonian description of the system. It turns out that the system of equations in Eq. (27) has a Hamiltonian description only for $\kappa = 1$. Namely, with the Hamiltonian structure

$$\mathcal{D}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & D \\ D & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{31}$$

the $N = 1$ supersymmetric polytropic gas equations can be written in the Hamltonian form

$$\begin{pmatrix} U_t \\ V_t \end{pmatrix} = \mathcal{D}_1 \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\delta H_2^{(1)}}{\delta U} \\ \frac{\delta H_2^{(1)}}{\delta V} \end{pmatrix} \tag{32}$$

only if $\kappa = 1$. This, therefore, selects out the particular value of the arbitrary parameter $\kappa$. However, integrability is yet to be shown, even though the presence of an infinite number of conserved quantities is suggestive.

We would choose $\kappa = 1$ from now on so that the $N = 1$ supersymmetric polytropic gas equations have the form

$$\begin{aligned} V_t &= (V(DU))_x \\ U_t &= D\left[\frac{1}{2}(DU)^2 + \frac{1}{(\gamma-1)}(DV)^{\gamma-1} - \frac{(\gamma-2)}{\gamma} V V_x (DV)^{\gamma-3}\right] \end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

Before proving the complete integrability of this system, let us bring out some essential features of this system. First, in components, the equations take the form

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_t &= (\xi u)_x \\ v_t &= (uv - \xi\eta)_x \\ \eta_t &= \eta_x u + \frac{2}{\gamma}\,\xi_x v^{(\gamma-2)} + \frac{(\gamma-2)}{\gamma}\,\xi v_x v^{(\gamma-3)} \\ u_t &= u u_x + v^{(\gamma-2)} v_x - \frac{2(\gamma-2)}{\gamma}\xi\xi_{xx} v^{(\gamma-3)} - \frac{(\gamma-2)(\gamma-3)}{\gamma}\,\xi\xi_x v_x v^{(\gamma-4)} \end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

As is clear, this is a system of coupled equations unlike the SUSY - B extension that we will describe in the next section.

Second, we note that for $\gamma = 2$, our system of equations in (33) reduces to the dispersionless limit of the sTB equations [15]. For $\gamma = 3$, defining two new superfields as before, $A = U + V$ and $B = U - V$, we obtain,

$$\begin{aligned} A_t &= D\left[\frac{1}{2}(DA)^2 - \frac{1}{3}(A-B)A_x - \frac{1}{6}(A-B)B_x\right] \\ B_t &= D\left[\frac{1}{2}(DB)^2 + \frac{1}{6}(A-B)A_x + \frac{1}{3}(A-B)B_x\right] \end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

We see that, unlike the bosonic equations in (3), these are coupled equations, which clearly decouple in the bosonic limit.

Unlike the bosonic system, where there are three distinct Hamiltonian structures, the supersymmetric equations in (33) do not seem to allow any other Hamiltonian structure (at least, we

have not succeeded in finding them). It can be checked that the structure

$$\mathcal{D}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} D^2V(DV)^{\gamma-3} + V(DV)^{\gamma-3} & D^2U + (\gamma-2)UD^2 \\ DV(DV)^{\gamma-3}D & (\gamma-1)DUD \\ (\gamma-2)D^2U + UD^2 & D^2V + VD^2 - (\gamma-2)DVD \\ (\gamma-1)DUD & \end{pmatrix} \tag{36}$$

gives the first three flows of the supersymmetric polytropic gas hierarchy. However, it fails at the next higher order and it can be checked that, although $\mathcal{D}_2$ has the right symmetry properties, it fails to satisfy the Jacobi identity. We have analyzed various deformations of this structure and it seems to us that this system of equations does not admit any local higher order Hamiltonian structure.

Since the system of equations does not allow a second Hamiltonian structure, the usual proof of involution of charges does not automatically hold. Nonetheless, let us note from the structure of the conserved charges in Eq. (28) that they satisfy identities analogous to the bosonic case, namely,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{h}_n^{(1)}}{\partial(DV)\partial(DV)} &= (DV)^{\gamma-3} \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{h}_n^{1)}}{\partial(DU)\partial(DU)} \\ \frac{\partial^3 \tilde{h}_n^{(1)}}{\partial V\partial(DV)\partial(DV)} &= (DV)^{\gamma-3} \frac{\partial^3 \tilde{h}_n^{(1)}}{\partial V\partial(DU)\partial(DU)} \end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

However, in spite of such relations, we have not managed to find an elegant proof of involution of charges as in the bosonic case. Instead, we can show by brute force that the charges are in involution with respect to the Hamiltonian structure $\mathcal{D}_1$, namely, it can be shown by direct calculations that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\{H_n^{(1)}, H_m^{(1)}\right\} &= \int dz \sum_{s=0}^{[\frac{n}{2}]+[\frac{m}{2}]} \frac{\left(V(DU_x)(DV)^{s(\gamma-1)} + V_xVU_x(DV)^{s(\gamma-1)-1}\right)(DU)^{m+n-2s-2}}{s(\gamma-1)+1} \\ &\quad \times \sum_{l=0}^{s} f(s,l,n,m) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

where $f(s,l,n,m)$ is defined in Eq. (16) and we have used the identity in Eq. (17) in the final step. This shows that the infinite set of charges are in involution thereby proving the complete integarbility of the system.

As we have seen, in the bosonic case, the polytropic gas equations possess two infinite sets of conserved charges. Although only one set of infinite conserved charges is enough to prove integrability, in the case of the polytropic gas, the existence of a second set is an accident, which is understood because of the peculiar feature that both the polytropic gas and the elastic medium equations have the same Lax description and share the same conserved charges [11]. It is worth inquiring about the second infinite set of conserved charges in the case of supersymmetric polytropic gas equation. Unfortunately, we have found only one other charge, namely,

$$H_0^{(2)} = \int dz\, \tilde{h}_0^{(2)} = \int dz\, U \tag{39}$$

which is conserved under the evolution of the supersymmetric polytropic gas system. This, of course, reduces, in the bosonic limit, to the bosonic charges $H_0^{(2)}$ in Eq. (7).

One can, of course, ask what is the generalization of the elastic medium equations to the superspace and whether they have any relation to the supersymmetric polytropic gas, as is the case in the bosonic limit. Without going into details, let us note here that the general one parameter family of supersymmetric extension of the elastic medium equations turns out to be

$$\begin{aligned} V_t &= U_x \\ U_t &= \frac{1}{\gamma-1} D\left[\left(1-\kappa+\frac{1}{\gamma-2}\right)(DV)^{\gamma-2}+\kappa D\left(V(DV)^{\gamma-3}\right)\right] \end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

This has the right bosonic limit for any value of the arbitrary parameter $\kappa$. Furthermore, with the supersymmetric Hamiltonian structure in Eq. (31), it is simple to check that, this equation is Hamiltonian only for $\kappa = 1$, where the Hamiltonian is given by

$$\tilde{H} = \int dz \left[\frac{1}{2}U(DU) + \frac{1}{(\gamma-1)(\gamma-2)}V(DV)^{\gamma-2}\right] \tag{41}$$

The dynamical equations, in this case, take the form

$$\begin{aligned} V_t &= U_x \\ U_t &= D\left[\frac{1}{\gamma-2}(DV)^{\gamma-2} - \frac{(\gamma-3)}{(\gamma-1)}VV_x(DV)^{\gamma-4}\right] \end{aligned} \tag{42}$$

We can think of this to be the $N = 1$ supersymmetric generalization of the elastic medium equation. It is manifestly supersymmetric and reduces to the elastic medium equations in the bosonic limit and is Hamiltonian. In components, the system of equations has the form

$$\xi_t = \eta_x \tag{43}$$

$$v_t = u_t \tag{44}$$

$$\eta_t = \frac{1}{\gamma-1}\left(2\xi_x v^{(\gamma-3)} + (\gamma-3)\xi v_x v^{(\gamma-4)} - (\gamma-3)\xi\xi_{xx}v^{(\gamma-4)}\right) \tag{45}$$

$$u_t = \frac{1}{\gamma-1}\left((\gamma-1)v_x v^{(\gamma-3)} - (\gamma-3)(\gamma-4)\xi\xi_x v_x v^{(\gamma-5)}\right) \tag{46}$$

It is interesting to note that, in addition to the conserved charge in Eq. (39) as well as $\tilde{H}$, the charges $H_0^{(1)}, H_1^{(1)}$ of Eq. (28) are also conserved under this flow. But, the infinite set of charges in Eq. (28) are clearly not conserved under this flow. Furthermore, we have not found any more conserved charges associated with this system. Therefore, it is not at all clear whether the supersymmetric elastic medium equations are even integrable. This remains an open question.

We note here that the supersymmetric polytropic gas equations admit a Lagrangian description. Following the discussion in the bosonic case, we define a supersymmetric analog of Clebsch potentials as (see Eq. (18))

$$V = \Phi_x = (\alpha + \theta\varphi)_x\,, \qquad U = \Psi_x = (\beta + \theta\psi)_x \tag{47}$$

where $\alpha, \beta$ are the fermionic fields which are the superpartners of the bosonic fields $\varphi, \psi$ respectively. In terms of these potentials, the action for the supersymmetric polytropic gas has the form

$$S = \int dt\, L = \int dtdz\, \left(\Phi_x(D\Psi_t) - H_2^{(1)}\right) \tag{48}$$

which, in components has the explicit form

$$S = \int dtdx\, \left(\varphi_x\psi_t - \alpha_x\beta_{tx} - \varphi_x\psi_x^3 - \frac{2}{\gamma(\gamma-1)}\varphi_x^\gamma + 2\alpha_x\beta_{xx}\psi_x + \frac{2}{\gamma}\alpha_x\alpha_{xx}\varphi_x^{\gamma-2}\right) \tag{49}$$

The same set of Clebsch potentials also allows a Lagrangian description of the supersymmetric elastic medium equation through the action

$$S = \int dtdz\, \left(\Phi_x(D\Psi_t) - H_1^{(2)}\right) \tag{50}$$

Let us comment now on why our supersymmetric polytropic gas system has only one local Hamiltonian structure and possesses only the supersymmetric "Eulerian" series of local conserved charges (and not a second infinite set of conserved charges). First, note that the bosonic polytropic gas equation (1), for $\gamma = 4$, can be thought of as the dispersionless limit of the Boussinesq equation. On the other hand, the second Hamiltonian structure of this equation (see Eq. (9)), corresponds to the $W_3$ algebra. It is well known that there is no nontrivial $N = 1$ supersymmetric extension of the Boussinesq equation [26] as well as the $W_3$ algebra, except for the SUSY B-extension, which we will discuss in the next section. From the nonexistence of supersymmetric extension of $W_3$ algebra alone, we cannot, of course, conclude that there are no possible supersymmetrizations in the dispersionless limit. However, using computer and symbolic computations, we have checked that it is impossible to find such local structures as well as the supersymmetric analog of the Miura transformation, Eq. (20), in the dispersionless limit. The non-existence of the second Hamiltonian structure, for the supersymmetric polytropic gas, also implies that there does not exist a recursion operator that is factorizable and can be written in terms of two Hamiltonian structures. This, however, does not affect integrability of the system, as we have explicitly demonstrated that the $N = 1$ supersymmetric polytropic gas system is completely integrable.

## 4 SUSY -B extension of the polytropic gas dynamics:

The supersymmetric -B extensions [19] are simple supersymmetrizations of a bosonic integrable model that are automatically integrable. The basic idea is to replace a classical bosonic variable $u \to (DU)$ in the bosonic equation [19, 27]. Thus, in the case of the polytropic gas equation, for example, if we let ($U, V$ are fermionic superfields, whose components can be taken as in Eq. (23))

$$u \to (DU), \qquad v \to (DV) \tag{51}$$

then, the dynamical equations would lead to

$$\begin{aligned} V_t &= D\left((DV)(DU)\right) \\ U_t &= D\left[\frac{1}{2}(DU)^2 + \frac{(DV)^{\gamma-1}}{(\gamma-1)}\right] \end{aligned} \tag{52}$$

which would correspond to the $N = 1$ SUSY -B extension of the polytropic gas equation. These equations have the following explicit forms in components

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_t &= \eta_x u + v\xi_x, \\ v_t &= (vu)_x, \\ \xi_t &= \xi_x u + \eta_x v^{(\gamma-2)}, \\ u_t &= uu_x + vv^{(\gamma-2)} \end{aligned} \tag{53}$$

As is clear, the bosonic equations are completely unchanged by such a supersymmetrization, namely, there is no interaction terms with the fermionic variables. This is similar, in that respect, to the set of supersymmetric Chaplygin gas equations obtained in [17]. However, these equations are not identical to those in [17]. In fact, note that the -B extension, in Eq. (52), naturally leads to $N = 1$ supersymmetry and, consequently, there are two fermionic variables, $\eta, \xi$ here, which are the superpartners of the two bosonic variables $v, u$ respectively, whereas the model in [17] has only one fermionic variable. This is easily understood from the fact alluded to in the introduction, namely, the system of equations in [17] are obtained from a starting superstring theory, which has a local *kappa* supersymmetry that needs to be fixed leaving one with only a single fermionic variable.

There is another important difference between the two systems. The Hamiltonian structures for SUSY -B extensions are odd. It is easy to see that the Hamiltonian structures for the SUSY -B extension of any integrable model is given by (acting on a delta function in superspace)

$$\mathcal{D}_n^{(\mathrm{B})} = D^{-1}\mathcal{D}_n^{(\mathrm{bosonic})}D^{-1} \tag{54}$$

where $D^{-1} = \partial^{-1}D$ and $\mathcal{D}_n^{(\mathrm{bosonic})}$ represents the Hamiltonian structure for the bosonic integrable system. Using for $\mathcal{D}_n^{(\mathrm{bosonic})}$, the three distinct Hamiltonian structures in Eq. (9), we see that SUSY -B extension of the polytropic gas dynamics also can be described by three distinct Hamiltonian structures, which, however, are odd. The corresponding Hamiltonians can also be easily constructed and which are Grassmann odd (This is the peculiar feature of SUSY -B extensions). In contrast, both the Hamiltonian and the Hamiltonian structure in [17] are of the usual kind (even). Presumably, one can think of extensions, such as in [17] as the $N = \frac{1}{2}$ SUSY -B extension of an integrable system. However, we have not investigated this question in more detail.

## 5 Conclusion:

In this letter, we have constructed the $N = 1$ supersymmetric extension of the polytropic gas dynamics as well its SUSY -B extension. We have shown that the supersymmetric polytropic gas

equations are Hamiltonian only for a specific value of an arbitrary parameter. We have constructed the infinite set of conserved charges, the "Eulerian" charges, and have shown that they are in involution, thereby proving the complete integrability of the system. Unlike the bosonic system, in the supersymmetric system, the second infinite set of conserved charges - the "Lagrangian" ones - do not exist. In stead, we have found only one such charge, in addition to the "Eulerian" ones. A Lagrangian description for the system, through the Clebsch superpotentials, has been constructed. We have tried to argue why a second Hamiltonian structure for such a system does not appear to exist. We have also constructed the supersymmetric elastic medium equations, which, however, do not appear to be integrable. We have commented on the similarities and differences between the SUSY -B extension of the polytropic gas and an earlier supersymmetrization of this system. We have pointed out several open issues associated with this system.

## Acknowledgments

One of the author (ZP) would like to thank Dr M.Pavlov for fruitful discussions on the classical polytropic gas dynamics. This work was supported in part by US DOE grant no. DE-FG-02-91ER40685 as well as by NSF-INT-0089589.

## References

[1] Yu. I. Manin, J. Sov. Math. 11 (1979) 1.

[2] B. A. Dubrovin, S. P. Novikov, Russian Math. Surveys 44 (1989) 35.

[3] V. E. Zakharov, Funct. Anal. Appl. 14 (1980) 89.

[4] J. Cavalcante, H. P. McKean, Physica D4 (1982) 253.

[5] P. J. Olver, Y. Nutku, J. Math. Phys. 29 (1988) 1610.

[6] G. B. Whitham, *Linear and Nonlinear Waves*, (Wiley, New York, 1974).

[7] M. Born, L. Infeld, Proc. R. Soc. London, A144 (1934) 425.

[8] B. M. Barbishov, N. A. Chernikov, Sov. Phys. JETP 24 (1966) 93; M. Arik, F. Neyzi, Y. Nutku, P. J. Olver, J. Verosky, J. Math. Phys. 30 (1988) 1338.

[9] D. Lebedeev, Yu. I. Manin, Phys. Lett. A74 (1979) 154; V. E. Zakharov, Physica D3 (1981) 193; Y. Kodama, J. Gibbons, Phys. Lett. A135 (1989) 167; I. M. Krichever, Comm. Math. Phys. 143 (1991) 415; K. Takasaki, T. Takabe, Rev. Math. Phys. 7 (1995) 743; A. M. Bloch, H. Flaschka, T. S. Ratiu, Comm. Fields Inst. 7 (1995) 57.

[10] E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. B340 (1990) 281; P. Dijkraaf, E. Verlinde, H. Verlinde, Nucl. Phys. B352 (1991) 59. I. Krichever, Comm. Math. Phys. 143 (1992) 627; B. Dubrovin, Nucl. Phys. B379 (1993) 627.

[11] J. C. Brunelli, A. Das, Phys. Lett. A235 (1997) 597.

[12] J. C. Brunelli, A. Das, Phys. Lett. B426 (1998) 57.

[13] A. Constandache, A. Das, F. Toppan, *Lucas polynomials and a standard Lax representation for polytropic gas dynamics*, in preparation.

[14] J. Barcelos-Neto, A. Constandache, A. Das, Phys. Lett. A268 (2000) 342.

[15] A. Das, Z. Popowicz, Phys. Lett. A272 (2000) 65.

[16] R. Jackiw, *(A Particle Theorist's) Lectures on (Supersymmetric, Non-Abelian) Fluid Mechanics (and d-Branes)*, MIT-CTP 3000; T. S. Nyawelo, J. W. van Holten, S. Groot Nibbelink, hep-th/0104104.

[17] Y. Bergner, R. Jackiw, physics/0103092.

[18] P. Mathieu, J. Math. Phys. 29 (1988) 2499.

[19] K. Becker, M. Becker, Mod. Phys. Lett. A8 (1993) 1205.

[20] A. Hearn, Reduce User's Manual 3.7 (1999).

[21] Z. Popowicz, Compt. Phys. Commun. 100 (1997) 277.

[22] Y. Nutku, M. V. Pavlov, hep-th/0108214, to appear in J. Math. Phys.

[23] F. Magri, J. Math. Phys. 19 (1978) 1156.

[24] M. A. de Almeida da Silva, A. Das, J. Math. Phys. 31 (1990) 798.

[25] A. Clebsch, J. Reine, Angew. Math. 56 (1859)1.

[26] A. Das, W. J. Huang, S. Roy, Phys. Lett. A157 (1991) 113.

[27] A. Das, Z. Popowicz, Phys. Lett. A274 (2000) 30.